



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,181	09/851,181 05/08/2001		Theodore F. Vaida	01-035	2728	
24319	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
LSI LOGIO			PHAN,	PHAN, TRI H		
MS: D-106	EK LANI	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS, CA 95035				2661		
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,181	VAIDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tri H. Phan	2661		

	Titrii i rian		2001	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amotice of Appeal (with appeal with 37 CFR 1.114.	nendment, aff ppeal fee) in o The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition un dension and the correspo shortened statutory perior than three months after	onding amount od for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	nlianna with 27 CED 4	1 27 must be	filed within two month	a of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external part of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	had a day to the date of	eeroo o botoe		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below. 	onsideration and/or sea			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• .	materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		er of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		(N O-		(DTOL 204)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in	n a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 4,6-8,12,18,20-22,26,32,34-36 and				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 9-11, 13-17, 19, 23-25, 27-31, 3</u>	33, 37-39, and 41-42.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		f film Ni		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejection	s under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-	•	* * * *	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status or the	ciaiiiis aitei e	nity is below or attact	ieu.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the	application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1	449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		16	Can M II	
	•			- 1/1-
			V $\overline{}$	7/4/05

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

BRIAN NGUYEN

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that Platko et al. (U.S. 6,363,444) and Chan et al. (U.S.4,969,121) references read upon the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1-3, 5, 9-11, 13-17, 19, 23-25, 27-31, 33, 37-39, and 41-42 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no.20050712.